

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,853	06/29/2001		Manfred Weuthen	C 2213 US	9048
23657	7590 07/06/2004			EXAMINER	
COGNIS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 300 BROOKSIDE AVENUE				MRUK, BRIAN P	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AMBLER, PA 19002				1751	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/896,853

Filing Date: June 29, 2001

Appellant(s): WEUTHEN ET AL.

Steven Trzaska For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

MAILED
JUL 0 6 2004
GROUP 1700

This is in response to the appeal brief filed April 22, 2004.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

A statement identifying the related appeals and interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the decision in the pending appeal is contained in the brief.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of the claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Invention

The summary of invention contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Issues

The appellant's statement of the issues in the brief is correct.

(7) Grouping of Claims

Application/Control Number: 09/896,853

Art Unit: 1751

The rejection of claims 11-23 stand or fall together because appellant's brief does not include a statement that this grouping of claims does not stand or fall together and reasons in support thereof. See 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7).

(8) Claims Appealed

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(9) Prior Art of Record

US 4,898,621 Pruehs et al Fe

February 6, 1990

DE 19738866 A1

Schmid et al

March 11, 1999

(10) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

A) Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pruehs et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,898,621.

Pruehs et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,898,621, discloses a hydroxyalkyl polyethylene glycol ether corresponding to the general formula I, per the requirements of instant claims 11-12 and 17-18 (see col. 2, lines 14-27). It is further taught by Pruehs et al that the hydroxyalkyl polyethylene glycol ether corresponding to the general formula I can be combined with a small quantity of other nonionic surfactants, per the requirements of instant claims 15 and 21 (see col. 5, lines 1-19). Specifically, note Table 1, Example III, which discloses a hydroxyalkyl polyethylene glycol ether, wherein R¹ is n-tetradecyl, R²

Application/Control Number: 09/896,853

Art Unit: 1751

is n-butyl, R³ is hydrogen, and n is 9, per the requirements of the instant claims. Furthermore, see Example XIV, which discloses a detergent composition made by adding 15% of the compound of Example III with 10% by weight of a C₁₂₋₁₄ fatty alcohol with 5 moles of ethylene oxide and 4 moles of propylene oxide, 4% by weight of sodium cumene sulfonate, 10% by weight of citric acid, 5% by weight of SOKALAN DCS and 56% by weight of water, per the requirements of instant claims 11-22. Therefore, instant claims 11-22 are anticipated by Pruehs et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,898,621.

B) Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmid et al, DE 19738866.

Schmid et al, DE 19738866, discloses a detergent composition comprising 0.5-20% by weight of a hydroxy mixed ether of Formula (I), 0.5-20% by weight of nonionic surfactants, 0-40% by weight of a solvent, 0.1-50% by weight of a carboxylic acid, 1-20% by weight of an alkylbenzenesulfonic acid, and water to balance (see abstract and page 2, line 51-page 3, line 26), per the requirements of the instant invention. It is further taught by Schmid et al that the variable R² includes a hydrogen atom, that the variable X includes zero, and that the variable Z includes one (see Formula (I) on page 2, lines 54-63 of Schmid et al, DE 19738866), per the requirements of instant claims 12 and 18. Schmid et al further teaches that the detergent composition is used in a process for cleaning laundry (see attached abstract), per the requirements of instant claims 11-23 are anticipated by Schmid et al, DE 19738866.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/896,853

Art Unit: 1751

(11) Response to Argument

Appellant argues that Pruehs et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,898,621, and Schmid et al, DE 19738866, fail to disclose the addition of a hydroxy mixed ether to an aqueous laundry detergent. However, the examiner respectfully asserts that the recitation of "laundry detergent" occurs in the preamble, and thus is not accorded any patentable weight, since it does not breathe life and meaning into the claim. See MPEP 2111.02.

Appellant further argues that Pruehs et al and Schmid et al fail to disclose the addition of the hydroxy mixed ether to an aqueous laundry detergent. However, the examiner respectfully asserts that Pruehs et al and Schmid et al clearly teach the addition of a hydroxy mixed ether to an aqueous composition, per the requirements of the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,853

Art Unit: 1751

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,
Brian P Mruk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

Brian P. Mruk July 1, 2004

Conferees Dr. Yogendra Gupta

YOGENDRA'N. GUPTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Douglas McGinty

COGNIS CORPORATION 2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD., SUITE 200 GULPH MILLS, PA 19406

APPEAL CONFEREE;

DOUGLAS MCGINTY
OUALITY ASSURANCE SPECIALIST
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700